Please evaluate review of the or plan. Fill in the areas and returns	closure PERFO	EPA MONITOR	ORKSHI	A.T.K. HARDING LAWSON POPE REID OTHER, IT KNOWN
TITLE COMPLETENESS / STATUS CLOS	TECHNICAL REVIEW	OF INTERIM	Contractor or Respo	nsible Sub:
Assignment No. Hours		ation Period	Signatures:	
R01-01-16	from	to	Contractor	
M:	ilestones Evaluat	ed	HPO/RPO	
DELIVERABLE C	OF CLOSURE REV	IEW	EPA Monitor	
Performance Criteria	Performance Rating *		Rating Justification	
(a) Technical Quality 30%	0			
(b) Conformity to Schedule				
20%				
(c) Conformity to Budget				
20%		<u>.</u>		
(d) User Satisfaction †	+	·		
(e) Editorial Quality				
(f) Communication  IF APPLICABLE  10%	0			
*(+) = superior (0) = satisfactory (-) = substandard  REVISED 8/1/84	DODA DECODO	- attitu - abilit - dedica - suitab	sfaction considerations:  Ide  ty to work with others  Ition to project  Dility as EPA representation	tive
	FACILITY ROHEN I.D. NO. CIDAGO FILE LOC. R-11 OTHER ROMS	Unitney Main St 642081	RCRA RECORDS ( FACILITY	

### ATTACHMENT

. A. .

# PROPOSED BASIS FOR PROJECT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

EPA Criterion	Basis for Superior Performance (+)	Basis for Satisfactory Performance (0)
Technical Quality	a. No technical, regula- tory or policy errors.	No significant technical, nical, regulatory or policy errors.
	b. Properly identified and discussed the key technical, regulatory or policy issues.	
Schedule	Deliverables submitted ahead of schedule.	Deliverables submitted on schedule.
Budget	Total costs less than projected.	On-budget.
Editorial Quality	a. Clear, concise, and organized technical writing demonstrated.	a. Well written technical materials submitted.
	b. Neat and clean presentation of materials.	<ul> <li>Presentation of materials generally neat and clean.</li> </ul>
	<pre>c. Free of typographical   errors.*</pre>	<pre>c. No more than two typos per page.*</pre>
Communi- cations;	Maintained effective level of both verbal and written communications. EPA monitor never "surprised" on project-related issues or problems due to lack of communication.	Generally maintained adequate verbal and written communication.
User Satis- faction	This rating should express to f the EPA monitor with the project.	

<sup>\*</sup> Judgment must be used when evaluating a document with regard to being "free of typographical errors" -- i.e., a document consisting of only a few pages should be free of typographical errors, whereas a document consisting of 15 or more pages could contain one or two typographical errors.

A.T. Kearney, Inc. 699 Prince Street P.O. Box 1405 Alexandria, Virginia 22313 703 836 6210

ATKEARNEY

November 6, 1986

Mr. Paul Bedrosian Regional Project Officer U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Room 2203 John F. Kennedy Federal Building Boston, MA 02203

RE: EPA Contract No. 68-01-70-38; Work Assignment R01-01-16 Completeness/Technical Review of Interim Status Closure Plan; United Technologies, Pratt & Whitney, East Hartford, Connecticut - CTD 990672081

Dear Mr. Bedrosian,

Enclosed is the completeness/technical review of the closure plan submitted by United Technologies Corporation, Pratt & Whitney. The closure plan is written in sufficient detail such that the closure is understandable and the closure schedule can be justified. However, the closure cost estimates cannot be fully substantiated, and the plan will have to be modified to fully comply with 40 CFR 265 Subpart G. Specific comments concerning completeness and technical deficiencies are included in the closure plan review.

#### Closure Plan Review

The submitted closure plan concerns the partial closure of the Burn-Zol liquid injection incinerator. It does not include the hazardous waste barrel storage, transporter storage, and tank storage units which are addressed in the closure plan with the Part B Permit Application. The partial closure plan also does not include closure financial assurance and liability requirements. According to the permit reviewers, Art Wing and George Dews, United Technologies Corporation has met the closure financial and liability requirements by submitting a financial test for closure and a Certificate of Liability Insurance. Therefore, these items were not included in this review.

The closure plan plan lacks a description of removal and clean-up procedures. The plan does not describe how the residue, ash and other residues and the refractories will be removed from the incineration equipment. It also does not describe the procedures for cleaning the outside of the

Ms. Cervera Page 2 November 5, 1986

incineration equipment, the cleaning equipment, the building, concrete pad, or surrounding structures, and soils. In fact it is not clear what portion of the equipment is indoors, what portion is outdoors on a concrete pad, and what portion could potentially leak or spill on soil.

The closure costs estimated by the applicant appear to be quite reasonable for the proposed closure activities. For example, the internal labor cost used in their estimates is higher than a third party rate. The only exception is that the certification might cost \$2,000 to \$3,000, including inspections, rather than the \$600 estimated by Pratt & Whitney. However, the total activities have not been considered and therefore have not been included in the cost estimate. Prominent among these are testing and decontamination of the outside of the equipment, the cleaning equipment, the concrete pad and surrounding structures or building interior, and any soils that might have received drips, spills, or leaks. In addition, although it is possible that all wash waters and rinse waters might be non-hazardous and amenable to NPDES disposal, one must consider the alternative that much of this water would require treatment or disposal as hazardous waste. Taken together, a much larger amount of hazardous waste may exist at closure than the applicant has assumed and this would result in a much larger closure cost.

The completeness/technical review lists other minor deficiencies which will have to be corrected in the closure plan to assure full compliance with the Interim Status Standards.

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Mary Thoma Cervera, P.E. Technical Director

Mary Cervera

cc: T. Conlon, EPA Region I

A. Wing, EPA Region I

K. Breeden

J. Grieve

J. Bennett

W. Rohrer, PRA

J. Huls, HLA-H

Completeness/Technical Review
Interim Status Closure Plan
United Technologies Corporation, Pratt & Whitney
400 Main Street
East Hartford, Connecticut
ID No. CTD 990672081

#### GENERAL COMMENTS

The partial closure plan deficiencies occur in three areas. The maximum waste inventory does not take into consideration residues such as ash, scrubber waters, and scrubber sludges from the incineration equipment. Removal and clean-up procedures do not describe how these residues and the refractories will be removed, and how the outside of the incineration equipment, the cleaning equipment, the building, concrete pad, or surrounding structures and soils will be cleaned.

The portions of the closure costs provided in the plan are adequate. However, no closure costs have been estimated for testing or decontamination of the outside of the incineration equipment, the concrete pad and surrounding structures or the inside of the building, the cleaning equipment, and the surrounding soils, and no explanation has been given for not including these activities in the costs. The closure cost estimate must be based on third party costs.

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS

A-1 <u>Closure Plan Requirements</u>: 265.110 through 265.115, 265.351

Revise the partial closure plan to incorporate detailed procedures to sample, remove and/or decontaminate the outside of the incineration equipment, the concrete pad and surrounding structures or the inside of the building, the cleaning equipment, and the surrounding soils.

Alternately an explanation may be given for not performing some of these activities. The partial closure plan should be revised to be consistent with deficiency comments A-lb through A-lg.

A-1b <u>Maximum Waste Inventory</u>: 265.112(b)(3)

Include in the maximum inventory estimates the maximum amount of hazardous waste residue, such as ash, scrubber waters, and scrubber sludges from the incinerator, waste heat boiler, and air pollution control equipment.

A-lc <u>Closure of Hazardous Waste Units</u>: 265.112(b)(4), 265.112(b)(5), 265.114

Include the following information regarding decontamination:

- (1) A list of potentially contaminated areas in the area surrounding the incinerator;
- (2) Methods for sampling and testing surrounding soils;
- (3) Procedures for cleaning (outside and inside), removing, or disposing of contaminated equipment, structures, and soils
- A-1c(5)(a) Removal of all Hazardous Wastes and Waste Residue: 265.351

Describe how all waste residues such as ash, scrubber waters, and scrubber sludges will be

removed from the incinerator, waste heat boiler, and associated air pollution control equipment.

A-lc(5)9b) <u>Decontamination/Disposal Procedures For</u>
<u>Incinerators and Associated Equipment, Adjacent</u>
<u>Surface and Subsoils, and Clean-up Equipment</u>:
265.351, 265.114

Discuss the procedures for decontaminating the incinerator and associated equipment (outside and inside), including ash collection and emissions control equipment, clean-up equipment, and the surrounding area.

Unless a demonstration can be made that they are not hazardous wastes, all residues must be managed as hazardous wastes. Describe how the residues will be properly treated or disposed.

Specify the procedures for determining if any surfaces or subsoils within or adjacent to the incinerator area are contaminated, and provide the procedures for removal, treatment or disposal of these contaminated materials.

### A-lq <u>Certification of Closure</u>: 265.115

Specify that, when closure is completed, certification will be submitted by both the owner or operator and by an independent registered professional engineer that the facility has been closed in accordance with the approved closure plan. The engineer certification should include records of inspection, sampling and analysis

results, and all observations made by the engineer to verify that the facility has been closed in accordance with the approved closure plan.

### A-3 Closure Cost Estimate: 265.142

Include in the closure cost estimate cost of testing and decontamination of:

- (1) any incinerator equipment surfaces;
- (2) the concrete pad and surrounding structures or the interior of the building; and/or
- (3) those soils in the surrounding area that may have been contaminated by drips, leaks, or spills during the testing of the incinerator.

The costs must be based on third party closure costs. Substantiate the costs in the closure cost estimate as being equivalent to third party costs or revise the closure costs estimate to account for third party closure.

DRAFT

INTERIM STATUS (265) CLOSURE/POST-CLOSURE PLAN CHECKLIST

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-1	Closure plan requirements	Υ	<u>N</u>		Pages 4-10 See comment A-1
A-la(1)	Closure performance standard	<u> </u>	<u> Y</u>	<del></del>	Pages 1, 5-7
A-1a(2)	Partial closure activities	Υ	N		Pages 4-8, See comment A-1c(5)
A-1b	Maximum waste inventory	Υ	N		Page 5, See comment A-1b
A-1c	Closure of hazardous waste units	<u> </u>	<u>N</u>		Page 6, See comment A-1c
A-1c(1)	Closure of containers		<del> </del>	NA	
A-1c(1)(a)	Removal of waste inventory			<u>NA</u>	
A-lc(1)(b)	Clean-up of spills or residues and decontamination procedures for liner or base and equipment			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(1)(c)	Testing and analysis to demon- strate success of decontamination		<del></del>	NA	
A-1c(2)	Closure of tanks		-	<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(2)(a)	Removal of tank contents			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(2)(b)	Decontamination/disposal procedures for tanks, appurte-nances and adjacent soils/subsoils and clean-up equipment			NA	
A-1c(2)(c)	Testing and analysis to demon- strate success of decontamination			NA	
A-1c(3)	Closure of waste piles			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(3)(a)	Removal of waste pile contents, liner (if any), and other contaminated materials			<u>NA</u>	

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-1c(3)(b)	Decontamination/disposal procedures for contaminated structures and equipment			<u>NA</u>	
A-lc(3)(c)	Testing and analysis to dem- onstrate success of decontam- ination of equipment and removal of contaminated soils	<del></del>		NA	
A-1c(4)	Closure of surface impoundments			NA	
A-1c(4)(a)	Removal of impoundment contents, liner (if any), and other contaminated materials			<u>NA</u>	<u></u>
A-1c(4)(b)	Decontamination/disposal proce- dures for contaminated equipment			NA	
A-1c(4)(c)	Testing and analysis to demon- strate success of decontamination equipment			NA	
A-1c(5)	Closure of incinerators	<u> </u>	N		Page 5-6, See comments A-1c(5)(a), A-1c(5)(b)
A-1c(5)(a)	Removal of all hazardous waste and waste residues	Y	N		Page 5, See comment A-1c(5)(a)
A-1c(5)(b)	Decontamination/disposal procedures for incinerators and associated equipment, adjacent surface and subsoils, and clean-up equipment	Y	N		Pages 5-6, See comment A-1c(5)(b)

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-1c(5)(c)	Testing and analysis to demon- strate success of decontamination	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Pages 6-7
A-1c(6)	Closure of thermal treatment units			<u> </u>	
A-1c(6)(a)	Removal of all hazardous wastes and waste residue			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(6)(b)	Decontamination/disposal procedures for thermal treatment units and associated equipment, adjacent surface and subsoils, and clean-up equipment		·	<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(6)(c)	Testing and analysis to demon- strating successs of decontamination	-	<del></del>	NA	
A-1c(7)	Closure of chemical, physical, and biological treatment units			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(7)(a)	Removal of all hazardous wastes and waste residues		****	<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(7)(b)	Decontamination/disposal procedures for chemical, physical and biological treatment units and associated equipment, adjacent surface and subsoils, and clean-up equipment		<del></del>	NA_	
A-1c(7)(c)	Testing and analysis to demon- strate success on decontamination			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(8)	Closure of land treatment units	- Marine and the second		NA	
A-1c(8)(a)	Discontinuation of waste application	<u> </u>		NA	

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-1c(8)(b)	Removal of contaminated soil			<u>NA</u>	
A-1c(8)(c)	Continuation of treatment			NA	
A-1c(8)(c)(1)	Maintenance of run-on control			NA	
A-1c(8)(c)(2)	Maintenance of run-off control		<del></del>	NA	
A-1c(8)(c)(3)	Control of particulate releases	<del>*= * * * *</del>	<del></del>	NA	
A-1c(8)(c)(4)	Compliance of food-chain crop restrictions		<del></del>	_ NA_	
A-1c(8)(c)(5)	Unsaturated zone monitoring			NA	
A-1c(8)(d)	Land treatment unit cover		<del></del>	NA	
A-1c(8)(e)	Equipment decontamination or disposal			NA	
A-1d	Closure of disposal units	<del></del>		NA	
A-1d(1)	Disposal impoundments			NA	
A-1d(1)(a)	Elimination of liquids			NA	
A-1d(1)(b)	Waste stabilization		<del></del>	<u>NA</u>	
A-1d(2)	Cover design			NA	
A-1d(3)	Minimization of liquid migra- tion			NA	
A-1d(4)	Maintenance needs			NA	
A-1d(5)	Drainage and erosion			NA	
A-1d(6)	Settlement, subsidence, and displacement		<del></del>	<u>NA</u>	

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-1d(7)	Cover permeability	<del></del>		NA	
A-1d(8)	Freeze/thaw effects			<u>NA</u>	
A-1d(9)	Disposal or decontamination of equipment		<del></del>	NA	
A-1e	Schedule for closure	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		Page 8
A-1f	Extensions for closure time	<u> </u>	Y		
A-1g	Certification of closure	Y	N		Page 12, See comment A-1g
A-2	Post-closure plan requirements			NA	
A-2a	Post-closure contact			NA	
A-2b	Post-closure security			<u>NA</u>	
A-2c	Inspection plan			NA	
A-2d	Monitoring plan			NA	
A-2e	Maintenance plan			NA	4
A-2f	Land treatment		<del></del>	NA	
A-2g	Notice to local land authority			<u>NA</u>	
A-2h	Notice in deed		<del></del>	NA	
A-2i	Certification of post-closure		<del></del>	<u>NA</u>	
A-3	Closure cost estimate	Y	N		Pages 8-10, See comment A-3
A-4	Financial assurance mechanism for closure			NA	(Not reviewed)

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-4a	Closure trust fund			<u>NA</u>	
A-4b	Surety bond guaranteeing payment into a closure fund			<u>NA</u>	
A-4c	Closure letter of credit			NA	
A-4d	Closure insurance			NA	
A-4e	Financial test and corporate guarantee for closure		<del></del>	NA_	1
A-4f	Use of multiple financial mechanisms			NA	
A-4g	Use of financial mechanism for multiple facilities			NA	
A-5	Post-closure cost estimates			NA_	
A-6	Financial assurance mechanism for post-closure care			NA_	
A-6b	Surety bond guaranteeing pay- ment into a post-closure trust fund			NA	
A-6c	Post-closure letter of credit			NA	The state of the s
A-6d	Post-closure insurance	-		NA	
A-6e	Financial test and corporate guarantee for post-closure care			NA_	
A-6f	Use of multiple financial mechanisms	-		NA_	
A-6g	Use of a financial mechanism for multiple facilities		<del></del>	NA	

		Provided (Y/N)	Adequate (Y/N)	Not Applicable	Comments
A-7	Liability requirements			NA	(Not reviewed)
A-7a	Coverage for sudden acci- dental occurrences			NA	
A-7a(1)	Endorsement or certification		******	NA	
A-7a(2)	Financial test for liability			NA_	
A-7a(3)	Use of multiple insurance mechanisms			NA	
A-7b	Coverage for nonsudden acci- denal occurrences			<u>NA</u>	
A-7b(1)	Endorsement or certification	<del></del>		NA	
A-7b(2)	Financial test for liability coverage			<b>NA</b>	
A-7b(3)	Use of multiple insurance mechanisms			NA_	
A-7c	Request for variance			NA	
A-8a	Use of state-required mechanisms			NA	
A-8b	State assumption of responsibilit	у		NA	